



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
[www.uspto.gov](http://www.uspto.gov)

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/660,207	09/11/2003	Yuqi Cai	DC8503USNA	6540
23906	7590	08/18/2006	EXAMINER	
E I DU PONT DE NEMOURS AND COMPANY LEGAL PATENT RECORDS CENTER BARLEY MILL PLAZA 25/1128 4417 LANCASTER PIKE WILMINGTON, DE 19805			THOMAS, JAISON P	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1751	
DATE MAILED: 08/18/2006				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Office Action Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/660,207	CAI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Jaison P. Thomas	1751	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

#### Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

#### Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 September 2003.  
 2a) This action is FINAL.                    2b) This action is non-final.  
 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

#### Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application.  
 4a) Of the above claim(s) 15-22 is/are withdrawn from consideration.  
 5) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.  
 6) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected.  
 7) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.  
 8) Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.  
 10) The drawing(s) filed on 11 September 2003 is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  
     Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
     Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  
 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
 a) All    b) Some \* c) None of:  
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.  
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)                     |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____  |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/11/2003</u> . | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
|  | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____                                    |

**DETAILED ACTION*****Election/Restrictions***

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, drawn to an electrically conductive shaped article including a flow field separator plate, classified in class 429, subclass 34.
- II. Claims 15-22, drawn to methods of making above, classified in class 425, subclass 200.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made.

The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the shaped article including the separator plate could be made by the simultaneous blending and molding of the composition claimed.

3. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

4. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their

different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: I. graphite filler and II. carbon nanotubes. The species are independent or distinct because both species are directed to different allotropes of carbon and have different electrical properties, especially among the various types of carbon nanotubes.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, Claims 1-3, 6-10, 13-18, 21 and 22 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

6. During a telephone conversation with Thomas Gorman on 8/8/2006 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group

Art Unit: 1751

I, claims 1-14 and species II directed to carbon nanotubes. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 15-22 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

***Claim Objections***

7. Claims 6 and 13 objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claims 6 and 13 both refer to a poly(styrene-co-maleic anyhydride) that does not further limit the Claim 2 or 9 both claiming poly(styrene-co-maleic anyhydride).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112***

8. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 2,7,9,14,16, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claims 2,7,9,14,16, and 22 use the word "preferably" or the phrase "most preferably." For purposes of examination, the broadest range will be considered only.

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

10. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Takagi et al. (US Patent 7008991).

Takagi teaches a thermoplastic resin composition which contains components A,B,C and D. Component A is described as an "amorphous thermoplastic resin" (Column 2, lines 51-52) which includes aromatic vinyl compound based resins such as styrene-maleic anhydride copolymer (Column 3, lines 14-36). Component B is described as a "crystalline thermoplastic resins" (Column 2, lines 46-47) and one example is thermoplastic liquid crystal polymer in a polyester form (Column 6, lines 23-26). Components C and D are blended into Components A and B respectively. Component D can be comprised of hollow carbon fibers (Column 8, line 50) sold under the trade name "Graphite Fibril" from Hyperion Catalysis International (Column 9, lines 3-5). Component A ranges from 5 to 65 parts and Component B 35 to 95 parts per weight (Column 9, lines 28-32) in the composition. Further, Component C and D range from 0.1 to

15 parts per weight of Components A and B combined (Column 9, lines 28-31).

Takagi teaches that such a resin could be used as molding material for articles which are required to have conductive or antistatic properties (Column 10, lines 43-46).

***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

11. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

12. Claims 2-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Takagi et al. (US Patent 7008991).

Takagi is relied upon as discussed above. However, Takagi does not teach the exact percentages of components as required by Claim 2 nor the exact percentages of maleic anhydride moieties as required by Claim 7. Further, Takagi does not teach a conductive flow field separator plate using the composition disclosed in Takagi.

With respect to Claims 2-7, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to optimize the percentages of components and maleic anhydride moieties of Takagi through routine experimentation for best results. As to optimization results, a patent will not be granted based upon the optimization of result effective variables when the

optimization is obtained through routine experimentation unless there is a showing of unexpected results which properly rebuts the *prima facie* case of obviousness. See *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 276, 205 USPQ 215, 219 (CCPA 1980). See also *In re Woodruff*, 919 F.2d 1575, 1578, 16 USPQ2d 1934, 1936-37 (Fed. Cir. 1990), and *In re Aller*, 220 F.2d 454, 456, 105 USPQ 233, 235 (CCPA 1955).

With respect to Claims 8-14, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to utilize the composition of Takagi as a separator plate since Takagi teaches the use of the resin composition to mold parts which require conductive characteristics and it is notoriously well known in the art that collector/separator plates are required to be conductive.

### ***Conclusion***

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from

the examiner should be directed to Jaison P. Thomas whose telephone number

is (571) 272-8917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30 am

to 5:00 pm.

14. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, Douglas McGinty can be reached on (571) 272-1029.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jaison Thomas  
Examiner  
8/10/2006

\*\*\*



Mark Kopec  
Primary Examiner